

**REMARKS****Response to Claim Objections**

Claim 92 is objected to by the Examiner because of the following informalities: "targ t" should read —targeted—. Applicants have reviewed the claim as presented and could not locate the informality. Applicants question whether this might be a facsimile artifact. A copy of page eleven of the amendment adding claim 92 which was faxed to the Patent Office is attached.

**Response to Claim Rejections Under 35 USC §102**

Claims 1, 52, 55, 58 and 65 are rejected by the Examiner under 35 U.S.C. §102(b) as being anticipated by Ghilatas. (U.S. Pat. No. 5,158,084).

Applicants have amended the rejected claims in order to clarify the invention. Specifically, applicants have added the feature of the fixation element having a retracted and extended configuration which the Examiner indicated (in claim 53 for example) was allowable. Other amendments have been made to the rejected claims and allowed claims to render the terminology used in these claims consistent. The applicants believe that these claims are now in condition for allowance.

**Response to Allowable Subject Matter**

The applicants note with appreciation the indicated allowance of Claims 68-91 and that claims 49, 53, 54, 56, 57, 59-64, 66, 67 and 92 were directed to patentable subject matter.

**Conclusions**

The applicants respectfully request further consideration of the pending claims pursuant to the concurrently filed Request for Continued Examination. Favorable consideration and an early allowance of the pending claims are earnestly solicited.

Respectfully Submitted,

By: 

Edward J. Lynch  
Registration No. 24,422  
Attorney for Applicants

DUANE MORRIS LLP  
One Market  
Spear Tower, Suite 2000  
San Francisco, CA 94105  
Telephone: (415) 371-2200  
Facsimile: (415) 371-2201  
Direct Dial: (415) 371-2267

**This Page is Inserted by IFW Indexing and Scanning  
Operations and is not part of the Official Record**

**BEST AVAILABLE IMAGES**

Defective images within this document are accurate representations of the original documents submitted by the applicant.

Defects in the images include but are not limited to the items checked:

- ☐ BLACK BORDERS
- ☐ IMAGE CUT OFF AT TOP, BOTTOM OR SIDES
- ☐ FADED TEXT OR DRAWING
- ☐ BLURRED OR ILLEGIBLE TEXT OR DRAWING
- ☐ SKEWED/SLANTED IMAGES
- ☐ COLOR OR BLACK AND WHITE PHOTOGRAPHS
- ☐ GRAY SCALE DOCUMENTS
- ☒ LINES OR MARKS ON ORIGINAL DOCUMENT
- ☐ REFERENCE(S) OR EXHIBIT(S) SUBMITTED ARE POOR QUALITY
- ☐ OTHER: \_\_\_\_\_

**IMAGES ARE BEST AVAILABLE COPY.**

**As rescanning these documents will not correct the image problems checked, please do not report these problems to the IFW Image Problem Mailbox.**